

Interview Summary	Application N .		Applicant(s)	
	09/806,882		PANANDIKER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Brian P Mruk		1751	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian P Mruk. (3) _____

(2) Julia Glazer. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 May 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 11, 21, 22 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached between the examiner and the attorney to amend instant claims 11, 21, 22 and 27 to correct minor informalities, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment. Also, agreement was reached to add the Abstract of the Disclosure from Parent Application WO 00/22078.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Brian P. Mruk 5/15/03
Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 1751

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Julia Glazer on May 15, 2003.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

PLEASE ADD THE FOLLOWING ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE:

C-

Art Unit: 1751

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 11. In line 3, **after** "amphoteric", **insert** ---,---

Claim 11. In line 4, **delete** "0.01%, to 50%, by weight, of a mixture of cyclic" **and insert**

~~---0.01% to 50% by weight of a mixture of A) cyclid---~~

Claim 11. In line 5, **before** "hydrophobically modified", **insert** ---B)---

Claim 21. In line 3, **delete** "0.01% to 5.0%, by weight of a mixture of cyclic" **and insert**

~~---0.01% to 5.0% by weight of a mixture of A) cyclid---~~

Claim 21. In line 4, **before** "hydrophobically modified", **insert** ---B)---

Claim 22. In line 1, **delete** "claim 16" **and insert** ---claim 21---

Claim 27. In line 2, **before** "cyclic amine", **insert** ---A)---

Claim 27. In line 2, **before** "hydrophobically modified", **insert** ---B)---

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Wang et al, WO 98/29528, discloses a laundry detergent composition comprising 1-80% by weight of a deterative surfactant, 0.1-80% by weight of an organic or inorganic detergency builder, and from 0.1-8% by weight of a modified cellulose ether (see page 2, lines 14-17). It is further taught by Wang et al that the cellulose ether includes hydrophobically-modified, nonionic materials with anhydroglucose ring alkyl substitution (see page 2, lines 28-31 & page 6, line 8-page 9, line 14). However, patentee differs from applicant in that Wang et al does not teach or suggest in general a detergent composition that contains a mixture of hydrophobically modified cellulosic based

Art Unit: 1751

polymers and cyclic amine based polymers, per the requirements of the instant invention.

Accordingly, the claims viewed as a whole would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention after viewing the prior art of record.

4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Mruk whose telephone number is (703) 305-0728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (Before Final) and (703) 872-9311 (After Final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

BPM

Brian Mruk
May 15, 2003


Mark Kopec
Primary Examiner

C